



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,045	12/21/2000	Sung-Kon Kim	3430-0154P	5517

2292 7590 05/08/2002

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH  
PO BOX 747  
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

DUONG, THOI V

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2871

DATE MAILED: 05/08/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/741,045

Applicant(s)

KIM, SUNG-KON

Examiner

Thoi V Duong

Art Unit

2871

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 December 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mashino et al. (USPN 5,886, 759) in view of Taniguchi et al. (USPN 6,099,134).

As shown in Figs. 1A and 4, Mashino discloses a liquid crystal display (LCD) device comprising a liquid crystal display 62 and a back light device which includes at least one lamp 36; a light guide plate 37 for guiding light emitting from the lamp; a diffusing sheet 39 for diffusing light emitting from the light guide plate; prism sheets 68 and 69 located on the diffusing sheet, concentrating light; a pattern of a plurality of light diffusion dots printed with white ink (or colorless ink) on the underside of the light guide 37 for transmitting light introduced into the light guide (col. 4. lines34-37); a reflector 38 located under the light guide plate, reflecting light directing downward the light guide plate, wherein at least one of an edge portion of the diffusing sheet adjacent to the lamp (Fig. 4), and the reflector (Fig. 1A) includes a printing portion to prevent a bright line. Fig. 7 shows a LCD device comprising a liquid crystal layer 50 interposed between two substrates 6 and 12, an upper polarizer 15, and a lower polarizer 16 which may be considered as a protecting sheet located on the prism sheets. And Fig. 13 shows a back light device comprising a light guide 37 having two lamps 36 at both ends, a reflectice

Art Unit: 2871

sheet 38 at the bottom and a diffusing sheet at the top. Accordingly, Mashino discloses a LCD device having a backlight device that is basically the same as that recited in claims 1-4 except that the printing portion is made of mixing colors and a certain pattern of a gray scale instead of colorless ink containing a light scattering agent. Taniguchi discloses a LCD device comprising a liquid crystal cell array and a back light device including a light guide plate and an optical member which is disposed on the top or bottom surface of the light guide plate and provided with convex-shaped or concave-shaped dots capable of scattering light rays so that luminance of the back light device is uniform (col. 3, lines 1-9). Figs. 28A to 28C show the back light device 12 and the optical member 11 with plurality of small convex dots 16. Taniguchi also discloses that transparent plastic materials can generally be employed for forming the dots (col. 23, lines 55-67) and, as shown in Figs. 33 Prior Art and 34, the dot disposition can be provided in integral combination with a light scattering layer <sup>3</sup>2, a reflecting sheet 4, a diffusing sheet 5, a prism sheet 6 or 7 (col. 24, lines 13-23), a polarizer 23 or 27, or a field angle enlarging sheet 28 (col. 24, lines 30-34). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the back light device of Mashino with the teaching of Taniguchi by forming a printing portion made of colorless ink containing a light scattering agent on at least one of an edge portion of the diffusing sheet adjacent to the lamp, an edge portion of the protecting sheet adjacent to the lamp, and the reflector so as to prevent a bright line and obtain a uniform luminance distribution for the display.

### **Conclusion**

Art Unit: 2871

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Thoi V. Duong at telephone number (703) 308-3171.

Thoi Duong

05/06/2002



William L. Sikes  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 2800